

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,913	01/25/2006	Takazi Igami	F8979	7472
28107 IORDAN AND	7590 10/25/2007 D HAMBURG LLP		EXAM	INER
122 EAST 42ND STREET			FLANIGAN, ALLEN J	
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
	•	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		(
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/565,913	IGAMI, TAKAZI			
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Allen J. Flanigan	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Descriptions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.5	September 2007.				
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	_				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers	r				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate ority documents have been received in Applicate (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3744

This is a supplemental Office action in response to applicant's request of 9/10/2007. The finality of the previously mailed rejection stands, and the period for response is restarted as indicated on the cover sheet.

The following comments are in response to the request for reconsideration submitted on 5/29/2007.

The comments regarding anticipation are moot as the grounds of rejection in the Final rejection are under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Applicant's arguments that it would not be obvious to optimize both brazing performance and workability suggests fundamental misunderstanding of the concept of optimization. Virtually any optimization of parameters involves balancing of conflicting properties. For example, optimizing pressure drop and heat exchange surface area (as determined by fin or tube density) involves a balancing between competing effects; as fin or tube density is increased, increasing heat exchange efficiency, pressure drop increases, increasing the pumping power required for a given flow rate through a heat exchanger. At some point the gains achieved in enhancing one factor are more than offset by the losses suffered in regard to the competing factor.

In the case of Shinnaga et al. it would be readily apparent to the skilled worker in the art that increasing both ease of brazing (facilitating the flow of filler through the slits) comes at the expense of the structural rigidity of the tube wall at the bend portion; the slits could be made so large and close together that the two halves of the sheet 2 would separate upon the slightest

handling. The smaller and more spaced apart the slits, the stronger and more resistant to distortion and tearing the sheet is, but the more difficult for sufficient filler/braze to flow through the slits to the joint. Optimization, therefor, involves balancing these requirements by selecting parameters that give acceptable performance with regard to both requirements. Saying that it would not be obvious to "concurrently" optimize for both characteristics makes no sense.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen J. Flanigan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Allen J. Flanigan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744 Page 4

AJF